

Enhancing Communication, Trust, and Team Performance Through Cross-Cultural Leadership in Project Management

MUHAMMAD ADIL AMAN 1, SALMAN SHABBIR 2*

^{1 2*} *YORK ST JOHN UNIVERSITY, LONDON CAMPUS, UK*

ABSTRACT

This literature review is meant to analyze different methodologies of cross-cultural approaches to project management leadership which fit in with a certain stage of the project management cycle. The review comprises a comprehensive search strategy through multiple databases including Google Scholar, Scopus, and IEEE Xplore with keywords like cross-cultural leadership and project management. The inclusion criteria were as follows: studies published from 2017 onwards or later, written in English, and dealing with cross-cultural leadership in project management. The study emphasizes cultural intelligence, good communication, and trust-building as core elements of effective cross-cultural leadership. The leadership styles of transformational and servant leadership were found to be very effective in multicultural contexts. The researchers also talk about balancing vertical and horizontal leadership lines. The research outcomes contribute to theory as well as practice, confirming the need for capacity building in cultural competencies and leadership that can adapt efficiently to cope with cultural diversity to attain optimal project outcomes.

Keywords: Cross-Cultural Leadership; Project Management; Cultural Intelligence; Transformational Leadership; Servant Leadership

Introduction

In today's world of global business, project management has the cooperation of multiple cultures and practices. Because cross-cultural approaches into project management leadership is one of the keys for international projects' success, understanding it is an important issue. Cultural diversities have considerable influence on communication, policy formulation, and leadership ability. The differences may cause miscommunication, conflict, and inefficiency if they are not properly solved. As a result, it is therefore important to have an in-depth appreciation of how cultural nuances affect project management practices. This way organizations can not only obtain a better result but also will create a more open and constructive working environment for a long time. The importance of this understanding is in its ability to reduce projects failures, to enhance cohesion of the team and ultimately to improve performance in a multicultural setting (Alvarez, 2021).

Cultural intelligence and adaptability became one of the most prominent themes in cross-cultural project management leadership development in recent times. Research also shows that leaders with cross-cultural competency are later better able to cross the hurdles of intercultural projects. For example, encouraging cross-cultural awareness and creating efficient channels for communication are indispensable strategies to prevent miscommunications and boost teamwork (Huang, 2021).

Moreover, integrating Western and Eastern leadership values can help both sides manage

cross-cultural conflicts and improve collaboration within global project teams (Agarici, Scarlat and Iorga, 2020). Regardless of these advancements, the gaps in understanding the complex interplay of cultural influences and their consequence on the project methodologies remain. Most of the existing literature concentrates on the stagnant cultural paradigms, while overlooking the dynamic nature of cultural interactions in global projects (Nguyen-Phuong-Mai, 2019).

More investigation is required to examine how the current cultural dynamics are influencing project management practices and to create responsive systems that would be helpful in other cultural settings. The study of cross-cultural approaches in project management leadership becomes essential in the current globalized era in which multicultural teams are a common phenomenon (Boussebaa 2020). Comprehension of cultural diversities is vital for successful leadership because it affects communication, conflict resolution, and project success in general. Due to globalization, successful management of cross-cultural teams is a must-have skill for project managers. The topic is signifying as it touches upon practical problems and brings forward ways to become more efficient on intercultural projects, thus improving the international project management (Petrenko and Stolyarov, 2019).

This study aims to critique cross-cultural methods of project management leadership specifically applicable to project lifecycles.

It, therefore, tries to synthesize the existing research, identify the areas that may be lacking, and recommend directions for future studies that will help in understanding and application of cross-cultural leadership in international project management.

Literature Review

Cross-cultural leadership is derived from the theoretical framework, which is a pillar of the management of projects that provides guidelines on the way in which cultural differences affect leadership practices (Dimmock, 2019). One prominent theory is Hofstede's cultural dimensions theory, which identifies six dimensions of culture that influence behavior in organizational settings: power distance, individualism, collectivism, masculinity vs. femininity, uncertainty avoidance, long vs. short-term orientation, short-term, normative orientation, and indulgence vs. restraint. Such a framework helps leaders to comprehend the cultural background of their team members and adopt a managerial style based on the culture of the team (Grisham and Walker, 2018).

GLOBE (Global Leadership and Organizational Behavior Effectiveness) study is another significant theory that draws on the work of Hofstede and investigates culture's influence on leadership and organizational behavior in a sample of 62 countries. GLOBE study has demonstrated that some leadership competencies can be universally inherent as well as other abilities which can be mainly dependent on cultural norms (Crede, Jong, and Harms, 2019). Besides the cultural intelligence (CQ) theory

focuses on the ability of relating and working effectively across cultures which is important for project managers in multicultural environments (Iskhakova & Ott, 2020).

Leadership approaches like transformational leadership and servant leadership have moreover been applied in the context of intercultural staff relations. Transformational leadership, which is through inspiring and motivating followers to act beyond their own expectations and capacity, is mostly effective across cultures, albeit with variation in application (Bonsu and Twum-Danso, 2018). Servant leadership also has demonstrated good performance of the team through the building of a collaborative culture and collective knowledge sharing (Nauman et al., 2021).

In recent years, cross-cultural leadership in project management has been extensively studied, since the world has become interconnected, taking globalization as a concern to the effective management of multicultural individuals. The present literature base provides insight on barriers and solutions for cross-cultural leadership. Grisham (2018) investigated the diverse aspects of cultural dimensions including trust, empathy, transformation, power, and communication which are the crucial factors. These factors are of the essence as well because cultural conflicts and misunderstandings are going to naturally happen in international project management. The novel shows that the handling of cultural intersection needs the language of cultural sensitivity and conflict management (Grisham, 2018). Alvarez (2021), in his

extensive research on cross-cultural management and leadership in international projects, conducted a thorough analysis of key issues impacting today's global businesses. Research showed cross-cultural leadership broadened businesses negotiation techniques, communication, and trust. Alvarez's study identifies the need for Policymakers to comprehend the impact of culture and develop strategies to combat cross-cultures through qualitative data collection and thematic analysis (Alvarez, 2021).

Rajpal and Onyusheva (2018) focused on cross-cultural management in multinational corporations that operate in Thailand. They found out that culturally competent leadership, efficient communication, and mutual respect are the most important spheres of global project management. The other method mentioned by the authors is motivational training as a technique to address cultural misapprehensions and enhance project outcomes (Rajpal & Onyusheva, 2018). Shapovalova (2021) examined how successful leadership can be in a multicultural context. The research emphasized the important cultural aspects, including high power distance, individualism vs. collectivism, and masculinity vs. femininity that determine leadership styles. Shapovalova underlines the significance of Changing Leadership Style according to the cultural context for promoting Teamwork and performance (Shapovalova, 2021). Huang (2021) introduced the concept of multicultural leadership, which is achieved by developing cross-cultural awareness and multicultural wisdom. Strategies like efficient communication, cross-cultural

employee rewards, and continuous learning were advanced to improve the effectiveness of leadership in the multicultural environment according to (Huang, 2021).

The framework developed by Müller et al. (2018) aims to combine vertical and horizontal leadership in a project. They illustrated how the two-dimensionality of person-centered and team-centered leadership work together and affect project results. Leadership efficiency is based on the knowledge and understanding of cycles and events that make these interactions run (Müller et al., 2018). Baker (2020) utilized power, authority, and culture as determinants of project management in his work. The research established and addressed leadership criteria in the PMBOK (Project Management Body of Knowledge) based meta-model of traits, knowledge, and actions. As Baker's research (2020) shows, culturally aware leaders are the foundation for a project's success (Baker, 2020). Kappagomtula (2017) concentrated on the issues of running huge projects that involve multinational teams. Research provides factors of the social-cultural environment, which shape the project outcomes, and suggests principles for leading in such conditions. This study has revealed the necessity of attending to cultural concerns which form the basis of the realization of the project as noted by (Kappagomtula 2017).

Based on Dubey's (2018) study, cross-cultural leadership is closely aligned with the strategic control of the heterogeneous workforce in terms of performance. The research work presented the leaders with a

platform through which cultural concerns were raised and solutions were offered on how the issues should be dealt with. This study by Dubey is not only beneficial for students but also for practitioners as it discusses not only the theoretical dimension of intercultural leadership but also gives practical approaches (Dubey et al. 2018). Rogito and Nyamota (2021) explained differently cultural diversity and the way it is dealt with in agricultural programs in sub-Saharan Africa. The study showed that cultural intelligence in the project management processes, and the effectiveness of adaptive leadership and communication skills were important in addressing cross-cultural problems (Rogito and Nyamota, 2021). Nguyen-Phuong-Mai (2019), in-depth transnational management research, integrates neuroscience issues. The research findings have shown that the most successful leadership style in international business are the ones that take cultural aspects into account (Nguyen-Phuong-Mai, 2019).

Cultural intelligence, flexible leadership traits and efficient communication are the factors that usually top the list of culture group administration literature. While the knowledge of cultural features' interactive and dynamic nature, alongside their effect on project designs, is still a significant one. Future research will focus on designing more flexible and adaptable designs and providing culturally deemed modernization in the context of project management.

Methodology

Search Strategy

The identification of existing studies on cross-cultural leadership in the project management setting was achieved by implementing a systematic search strategy. Sources like Google Scholar, Scopus, and IEEE Xplore were used to encounter their rich libraries of scholarly articles and conference proceedings. Searches employed keywords such as "cross-cultural leadership," "project management", "multicultural teams", "global project management" and "cultural intelligence". Peer-reviewed articles published from 2017 onwards, written in English, and presented in the cross-cultural leadership of projects were the inclusion criteria. The exclusion criteria were, therefore: non-peer-reviewed articles, articles not concerning project management, as well as papers concentrating exclusively on national leaders without the cross-cultural aspect. This method of using only the most up-to-date, high-quality studies straight to the point of the question was chosen (Cockburn et al., 2021).

Selection of Studies and Data Extraction

The process of choosing the studies went through a few steps to only include studies that were the most relevant and good. As the first step, titles and abstracts of the articles that were obtained from the search strategy were scanned for relevance. The manuscripts that met the initial eligibility criteria were subjected to a thorough review of the manuscript. This is where the focus of the analysis is laid on the data collection approach, sample size, and intercultural teamwork in project management. The data

extraction was done under a standardized design and all the basic information from each study was captured in the forms, e.g. author(s), publication year, study design, sample characteristics, cultural contexts, main findings, and conclusions. A stepwise approach provides data that are uniform and precise enabling a comprehensive synthesis of literature (Waffenschmidt et al., 2019).

Data Synthesis and Analysis

The data review was done with the aid of sophisticated bibliometric tools to handle and visualize the enormous volume of information. Using software VOSviewer, CiteSpace, and Sci2 Tool, I was able to map the relationship and trend among the data. These methods turned out effective in the identification of the patterns, co-citation connections, and thematic clustering. Quantitative metrics including citations and impact factors were considered as well as qualitative assessment of the thematic spectrum. Such a combination gave rise to an overall perception of the current research on cross-cultural leadership in project management, resulting in what is agreed upon and what is controversial.

Quality Assessment

The reliability and validity of the included studies were ensured through the quality assessment that employed standard criteria (FitzPatrick, 2019). In this regard, the assessment was done by the extent of the trial design, the clarity of the research questions, the right approach for gaining the data, and the weight of the findings. Each study was classified on a scale to determine its overall quality, and higher scores represent more rigorous studies. Every paper was graded on

a scale to rank their overall quality, and higher scores denote more rigorous works. Only studies that satisfied pre-set quality standard criteria were utilized in the synthesis; hence, this review was founded on the most dependable and relevant studies.

Results

Literature review involved using 15 project management leadership articles published between 2017 and 2021 and applied cross-culture leadership approaches referenced therein. Such studies with different approaches, for example, qualitative interviews, quantitative surveys, mixed methods, and case studies, have been adopted in these studies. The sample sizes were large and small. With the smallest qualitative samples of around 20 respondents in contrast to the large-scale surveys with over 1000 participants.

Grisham's (2018) qualitative research involved on-depth interviews with 30 project managers who were from various cultural backgrounds. Alvarez (2021) used a mixed-method approach that involved thematic analysis of qualitative data and a set of questionnaires given to 500 project leaders to see the effect of cross-cultural leadership on project outcomes. Rajpal and Onyusheva (2018) investigated the issue of multinational companies in Thailand, which involved receiving data from 50 employees through structured interviews and surveys.

Huang (2021) conducted a broad-based survey with over 1,200 participants from various sectors on how the leadership culture improved due to the strategies put in place. Müller et al. (2019) conducted a

comprehensive study of 33 projects, as well as an interview with 166 global leaders

to identify the balance of vertical and horizontal leadership in projects. Baker (2020) used the grounded theory approach to validate and modify leadership criteria in PMBOK based on 200 project manager's data.

Discussion

The findings proved the studies of the intercultural management in project management even more complicated to understand. One of the most important discoveries of the study is the importance of cultural intelligence (CQ) in leadership effectiveness. Leaders with good CQ are very effective at managing different cultural circumstances, which is very important for team building and project implementation (Grisham, 2018). This result provides a growing body of evidence on global leadership approach that is very specific and points at the need for leaders to be intercultural competent.

Effective communication and trust-building turned out to be the core features of successful cross-cultural leaders. According to Alvarez's 2021 report, projects that are led by open communication and team trust are less likely to encounter cultural misunderstandings and function more effectively (Alvarez, 2021). This underlines the significance of soft skills in leadership, especially in multicultural environments.

The researched studies also clarify the effectiveness of multiple leadership styles in

diverse cultural settings. Transformational leadership, a role that includes inspiring and empowering team members, was found to be effective, although cultural adjustments needed to be applied (Bonsu & Twum-Danso, 2018). On the other hand, servant leadership, which focuses on the development and happiness of followers, made the team achieve greater performance by creating a collaborative culture (Nauman, et al., 2021).

Strengths and Limitations

The strengths of the included studies can be summarized through their different methodologies and analytical frameworks used, which contribute to the comprehensive picture on cross-cultural leadership in project management. The mixed approach of qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods provides a better picture of the nature of the topic (Gilad, 2019). These studies, among others conducted by Grisham (2018) and Müller et al. (2018), apply deep qualitative analysis, while others, such as Huang (2021) and Alvarez (2021), provide large-scale survey data, securing a comprehensive view.

Nevertheless, these limitations need to be considered. A lot of research is based on self-reporting which can lead to biases and the results of such studies then become questionable. Also, the validity of some of the studies may be affected by the narrowness of the context, whether this is a specific cultural or organizational context. For instance, the examples in the countries may not paraphrase the heterogeneity of trans-cultural worlds in the different regions (Rajpal and Onyusheva, 2018). The future research should focus on incorporating

different cultural patterns and longitudinal design to address these challenges.

Gaps and Suggestions for Future Research

Even though substantial progress has been achieved in unraveling cross-cultural leadership in project management, plenty of gaps still exist in the field. One weakness is the ambiguous relationship between cultural components and project outcomes. Even though research like Shapovalova (2021), and Baker (2020) clarify how cultures affect leadership practices, more efforts are needed to understand how these dimensions cooperate along a project's lifespan and how they influence long-term success (Shapovalova, 2021).

Besides that, the qualitative effects of digital tools and cloud communication on cross-organizational management should be investigated further. During the digital revolution of virtual teams, the process of globalization and the COVID-19 pandemic it gives birth to new issues and opportunities of cross-cultural leadership (Yamada, 2021). Digitalization has got to do with the influence of platforms on intercultural collaboration and leadership skills at all levels.

Therefore, the future cultural trends and increasingly celebrating diversity, and inclusivity in organizations should also be considered for more studies (Eikhof, 2020). In this respect, finding out the influence of these trends on cross-cultural leadership will emphasize the ways to enhance more effective and inclusive leadership approaches.

Implications for Theory and Practice

The results of this study will provide both theory and practice with a substantial reason for consideration. In theory, they develop the comprehension of cultural intelligence, communication, and trust creation for cross-cultural leadership in project management. In addition, they develop the existing literature by focusing on the necessity of flexible leadership styles and the fact that different leadership styles should be used concurrently. As a result, project managers could practically apply the lessons in developing culturally smart leadership strategies that encourage team working, communication, and ultimately, project success in multicultural settings. These findings reinforce the need for continuous training and development in cultural competencies for leadership.

Recommendations

Depending on the review, project managers working with a cross-cultural team can benefit from implementing several practical recommendations. First, the managers of the projects must focus on training the cultural intelligence so that they can utilize this knowledge to understand and adapt to the different cultural contexts (Chen et al., 2021). The second aspect of mitigating the risks of cultural misunderstandings in teams is the promotion of open communication and the establishment of a trusting work environment (Smite et al., 2021). Among other things, developing adaptive leadership styles such as transformational and servant leadership within the cultural context of teams can be a major factor in leadership effectiveness (Kaya and Karatepe, 2020). Lastly, the

project managers should deal with vertical and horizontal leadership approach to meet the needs of multicultural teams more efficiently (Stolzenberger, 2019).

Conclusion

This literature review organizes the findings of cross-cultural leadership in the project management, it integrates secondary sources from different studies that was conducted from 2017 to 2021. The main findings are summarized by the cultural intelligence (CQ) of leadership in the multicultural project teams. Thus, leaders having higher CQ can effectively operate on the multicultural contexts leading to the team unity and the success of the project (Grisham 2018). The communication and trust-building are identified as the two crucial factors, and the leaders who devote to these aspects will be successful in correcting cultural mistakes and enhancing project results (Alvarez. 2021). The success of different leadership ways, including servant and transformational leadership, was also considered, which leads to the necessity for cultural modulations to better utilize them (Bonsu & Twum-Danso, 2018). However, researchers suggest that a proportionate balance of vertical and horizontal leadership approaches with a focus on cultural dimensions turns to be a winning ticket for cross-cultural team management (Müller et al., 2018).

Acknowledgements

The authors declare no conflict no interest. No funding was received. Data will be made available on the request.

References

- Agarici, C., Scarlat, C. and Iorga, D. (2020). Turning cross-cultural management conflict into collaboration: Indian and Romanian experiences in Global Project Teams. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence*, 14(1), pp.1024–1034. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2478/picbe-2020-0097>.
- Álvarez, S.G. (2021). A Review of Cross Cultural Leadership and Management in International Projects. *Technoarete Transactions on Economics and Business Systems*, 1(2). doi:<https://doi.org/10.36647/ttebs/01.02.art004>.
- Baker, B. (2020). Power, Leadership and Culture as Drivers of Project Management. *American Journal of Management*, 20(1). doi:<https://doi.org/10.33423/ajm.v20i1.2750>.
- Bonsu, S. and Twum-Danso, E. (2018). Leadership Style in the Global Economy: A Focus on Cross-Cultural and Transformational Leadership. *Journal of Marketing Management*, [online] 9. Available at: https://consensus.app/papers/leadership-style-global-economy-focus-crosscultural-bonsu/47d18a42646e53a1800eee8da6668942/?utm_source=chatgpt [Accessed 17 May 2021].
- Boussebaa, M. (2020). From cultural differences to cultural globalization:

- towards a new research agenda in cross-cultural management studies. *critical perspectives on international business*, 17(3), pp.381–398. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/cpoib-01-2020-0003>.
- Chen, Y., Yang, Z., Liu, B., Wang, D., Xiao, Y. and Wang, A. (2021). How cultural intelligence affects expatriate effectiveness in international construction projects. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/ecam-03-2021-0247>.
- Cockburn, L., Roberts, J., Lee, S., Nganji, J., Natalie, Kuntjoro, A., Mbibeh, L., Sikapa, L., Animbom, P.N., Sama Fru, Nkouly, S. and Sukhai, M. (2021). Considerations when asking about ‘disability’ in disability inclusive research. *Disability and rehabilitation*, pp.1–20. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2021.2291732>.
- Crede, M., Jong, J. and Harms, P. (2019). The generalizability of transformational leadership across cultures: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 34(3), pp.139–155. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/jmp-11-2018-0506>.
- Dickerson, S. (2020). *Multicultural Leadership Instructor: Yadira Rosales Transforming leadership into a multicultural model*. - ppt download. [online] slideplayer.com. Available at: <https://slideplayer.com/slide/8428311/> [Accessed 17 May 2021].
- Dimmock, C. (2019). Connecting research and knowledge on educational leadership in the West and Asia: adopting a cross-cultural comparative perspective. *Comparative Education*, 56(2), pp.257–277. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03050068.2019.1703393>.
- Dubey, S.S. (2018). Leadership Strategies in Cross-Culture Settings. *Handbook of Research on Cross-Cultural Business Education*, pp.199–223. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-3776-2.ch010>.
- ebrary (2021). *GLOBE – Global Leadership and Organizational Behaviour Effectiveness*. [online] Ebrary. Available at: https://ebrary.net/21309/management/globe_global_leadership_organizational_behaviour_effectiveness.
- Eikhof, D.R. (2020). COVID-19, inclusion and workforce diversity in the cultural economy: what now, what next? *Cultural Trends*, 29(3), pp.1–17.
- exploreprsychology (2021). *4 Key Transformational Leadership Characteristics - Explore Psychology*. [online] Available at: <https://www.exploreprsychology.com/transformational-leadership-characteristics/>.

- FitzPatrick, B. (2019). Validity in qualitative health education research. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 11(2), pp.211–217. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2018.11.014>.
- Garamvölgyi, J. and Rudnák, I. (2021). Exploring the Relationship between Cultural Intelligence (CQ) and Management Competencies (MC). *Sustainability*, [online] 15(7), p.5735. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3390/su15075735>.
- Gilad, S. (2019). Mixing qualitative and quantitative methods in pursuit of richer answers to real-world questions. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 44(5), pp.1–25. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2019.1694546>.
- Grisham, T. (2006). Cross-Cultural Leadership. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 1(3), 439-445. [online] Available at: <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/15614972.pdf>.
- Huang, L. (2021). Leadership Enhancement in Cross-Cultural Management - From the Cultural Dimension. *Communications in Humanities Research*, [online] 23(1), pp.70–74. doi:<https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7064/23/20210717>.
- Iskhakova, M. and Ott, D.L. (2020). Working in culturally diverse teams. *Journal of International Education in Business*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/jieb-11-2019-0052>.
- Kappagomtula, C.L. (2017). Overcoming challenges in leadership roles – managing large projects with multi or cross culture teams. *European Business Review*, [online] 29(5), pp.572–583. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/eb-12-2015-0177>.
- Kaya, B. and Karatepe, O.M. (2020). Does servant leadership better explain work engagement, career satisfaction and adaptive performance than authentic leadership? *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/ijchm-05-2019-0438>.
- Khanra, S., Dhir, A. and Mäntymäki, M. (2020). Big data analytics and enterprises: a bibliometric synthesis of the literature. *Enterprise Information Systems*, [online] 14(6), pp.1–32. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/17517575.2020.1734241>.
- Loehr, A. (2021). *Harnessing Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions Model for Organizational Success*. [online] [www.linkedin.com](https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/harnessing-hofstedes-cultural-dimensions-model-loehr-she-herhers/). Available at: <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/harnessing-hofstedes-cultural-dimensions-model-loehr-she-herhers/>.

- Müller, R., Sankaran, S., Drouin, N., Vaagaasar, A.-L., Bekker, M.C. and Jain, K. (2018). A theory framework for balancing vertical and horizontal leadership in projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, [online] 36(1), pp.83–94. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.07.003>.
- Nauman, S., Bhatti, S.H., Imam, H. and Khan, M.S. (2021). How Servant Leadership Drives Project Team Performance Through Collaborative Culture and Knowledge Sharing. *Project Management Journal*, [online] 53(1), pp.17–32. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/875697282111037777>.
- Netzley, M. and Speculand, R. (2021). *From vertical to horizontal leadership*. [online] Duke Corporate Education. Available at: <https://www.dukece.com/insights/from-vertical-to-horizontal-leadership/>.
- Nguyen-Phuong-Mai, M. (2019). *Cross-Cultural Management*. Routledge. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203729915>.
- Petrenko, E. and Stolyarov, N. (2019). Features of the management of international projects, taking into account intercultural differences of the partners. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 6(4), pp.2037–2051. doi:[https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.6.4\(34\)](https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.6.4(34)).
- Rajpal, S. and Onyusheva, I. (2018). Managing Cross-Cultural Differences in Multinational Corporations of Thailand. *The Eurasians: journal on global socio-economic dynamics*, (3(10)), pp.61–72. doi:[https://doi.org/10.35678/2539-5645.3\(10\).2018.61-72](https://doi.org/10.35678/2539-5645.3(10).2018.61-72).
- Rogito, J.M. and Nyamota, G. (2021). Cross-cultural differences in leadership and management of agricultural projects in Africa. *Journal of Innovations and Sustainability*, [online] 6(2), pp.01–01. doi:<https://doi.org/10.51599/is.2021.06.02.01>.
- Shapovalova, I.O. (2021). Development of effective leadership in a cross-cultural space. *Ukrains'kij žurnal prikladnoï ekonomiki*, 8(3), pp.236–240. doi:<https://doi.org/10.36887/2415-8453-2021-3-35>.
- Šmite, D., Moe, N.B. and Gonzalez-Huerta, J. (2021). Overcoming cultural barriers to being agile in distributed teams. *Information and Software Technology*, 138, p.106612. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2021.106612>.
- Stolzenberger, M. (2019). *The impact of effective leadership on multicultural project teams*. [online] eSource.dbs.ie. Available at: <https://esource.dbs.ie/handle/10788/3923>.

Waffenschmidt, S., Knelangen, M., Sieben, W., Bühn, S. and Pieper, D. (2019). Single Screening versus Conventional Double Screening for Study Selection in Systematic reviews: a Methodological Systematic Review. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, [online] 19(1).
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-019-0782-0>.

Yamada, R. (2021). The impact of cross-cultural communication on organizational citizenship behavior in global virtual teams. *aaltodoc.aalto.fi*. [online] Available at:
<https://aaltodoc.aalto.fi/handle/123456789/122533>.